UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,851	03/30/2004	Hyun Sook Kim	1594.1361	2334
21171 STAAS & HAL	7590 10/10/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ AMENIJIE NIM	HECKERT, JASON MARK		
	1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/811,851	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		JASON HECKERT	1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPLY WITH THE M	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 /	June 2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 June 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	, 						
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
· · _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Taper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/811,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Due to the applicant's amendments to the claims, the previous rejections are rendered moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by "a control unit to control the motor and the pumping unit being simultaneously operated." If the control unit is simultaneously operated, it is not clear what device it is operated along with. If it operates the motor and pumping unit simultaneously, it should be claimed as so.
- 4. It is not clear what is meant by "a control unit to control the motor and the pumping unit being simultaneously stopped." If the control unit is simultaneously stopped, it is not clear what device it is stopped along with. If it stops the motor and pumping unit simultaneously, it should be claimed as so.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

6. Claims 16-18, 21-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwon. Kwon discloses a washing machine with a water tub 60, a rotary tub 65, a motor 75, a pumping unit 73, and controlling unit with input (see figure 2, top of the machine). In addition to pump 73, Kwon shows a circulating pipe 70 and nozzle 74. The pumping unit is capable of being operated simultaneously with the motor (step S2). Moreover, they can be simultaneously stopped, such as at the end of the washing program. A water level sensor senses the water level in the tub (col. 5 lines 12-18). The use of the control unit and water level sensor is regarded as intended use. The manner in which an apparatus operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus itself. Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546, 1548 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967). Furthermore, apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc. 15 USPQ 2d 1525 (Fed. Cir. 1990); Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorf Licensing Ltd. 7 USPQ 2d 1222, 1224-1225 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

8. Claims 19-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon in view of Kim. Kim discloses a method for controlling a washing machine according to laundry characteristics, such as those based on type and fabric. Disclosed is a key input 10 with a set of keys for inputting material of the laundry. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Kwon and include a key input device that sets washing courses according to material of the load, as disclosed by Kim, in order to control the washing machine.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

JMH 10.